- SUMMARY OF INTERVENTIONS
- PROBLEMS
- ADDED VALUE
- SOLUTIONS?



Anne Christine Brusendorff
Arnould Lefebure

1. SUMMARY OF INTERVENTION

Mr PAPP-Hungary: - a long experience of transbound

cooperation

-initiative of the Hungarian water

Director for additionnal discussions to

implement the WFD

-different stages of negiotiation

Ms BOGDAN-Romania: -already good cooperation with some

riparians countries

-old agreements to renew for the WFD

Mr KREFT-Poland: -bilateral agreement on transport and

ice-cracking

1. SUMMARY OF INTERVENTION

Mr BOULNOIS-France: -a long experience of tran

cooperation (Rhine-1963, Moselle-

Sarre, Mass-1994)

-the example of the Rhine commission in

implementing the WFD

Mr GOURD-Canada:

-a 100 years transboundary cooperation

between USA & CANADA: a history of

consensus

-a need to protect for the long term 25%

of the drink water world reserve



1. SUMMARY OF INTERVENTION

Mr de KERCKHOVE-Belgium: - 4 transboundary river basins in a small

region

-a new water policy per sub-basin "the

code of water"

Mr KOROVIN-Russia: -an experience from North-West Russia

carried out under the umbrella of the

Helsinki convention

Mr PAKHOMAU-Bielarussia: -3 transboundary rivers with EU

-existing & future international

cooperation

-need to change to achieve common

goals of the WFD



Balkan area



2. PROBLEMS

- RIVERS & ECO-REGIONS ARE NOT STOPPING AT THE EU BORDERS! TRANSBOUNDARY RIVER BASINS/ECO-REGIONS ARE IN & OUT OF EU
- DIFFERENT HISTORICAL APPROACH TO ASSESS WATER QUALITY
- RISKS OF INCOHERENT WATER MEASURES WITHIN A SAME RIVER BASIN/ECO-REGION
- DIFFERENT AGENDAS AMONG PARTIES TO IMPLEMENT THE WFD
- INTERNATIONAL WORK MIGHT BE SEEN AS SECONDARY AND NOT COMPLEMENTARY



2. PROBLEMS

- CONSENSUS TAKES MORE TIME
- PROBLEM OF APPROPRIATE LEVEL BETWEEN LOCAL, NATIONAL, BILATERAL AND MULTINATIONAL COOPERATION
- BIG DIFFERENCE OF CULTURE AND LANGUAGE MAKE THING DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND EACH OTHER
- THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WFD IS GOING TO BE EXPENSIVE THEREFORE MORE EFFICIENT TRANSNATIONAL EFFORTS ARE NECESSARY



3. ADDED VALUE

- WHEN LEGAL & INSTITUTIONAL FRAME(AGREEMENTS) ARE ALREADY ESTABLISHED IT MAKES THINGS EASIER & CHEAPER
- SHARING EXPERIENCES ALLOW TO GET FASTER COORDINATED
- COMMISSIONS ARE LEGAL FORA TO EXCHANGE EXPERIENCES & DATAS /TO GET HARMONIZED
- COMMON DATA BANKS ALLOW MUTUAL COMPREHENSION
- FOLLOWING HYDROLOGY: APPROACH PER BASIN
- THE COMMISSION CAN BE USED AS A TOOL FOR NATIONAL OR LOCAL PRESSURE
- CONSENSUS MAKES THINGS STRONGER
- COMMISSIONS ARE GOOD PROSPECTIVE TOOLS



4. SOLUTIONS

- NEED FORA TO EXCHANGE EXPERIENCES, TO GET INFORMATIONS AND TO GET TRAINED;
- CREATE FOR EVERY TRANSBOUNDARY RIVER A LEGAL, INDEPENDANT & FINANCING BODY WITH PERMANENT SECRETARIAT;

TO ACHIEVE THIS WHY NOT EURO-INBO?



RECOMMANDATIONS TO EURO-INBO

- The recommendations of the 4th workshop are to make use and strengthen existing well-functioning international co-operation structures, because
 - a. good results have already been obtained within these structures between EU and non-EU members
 - those structures provide platforms to define eco-region or/and group of water bodies to reach inland, coastal and open water management
 - c. of experience in collecting harmonized or coordinated data used for assessment on pressures and impacts



RECOMMANDATIONS TO EURO-INBO

The recommendations of the 4th workshop are to make use and strengthen existing well-functioning international co-operation structures, because:

- d. of experience of implementing common assessment on international adopted measures
- e. it ensures integrated ecological water management for river basins or eco-regions
- f. it brings socially, economically and environmentally added-value compared to independently taken measures
- to integrate the international dimension in the bilateral, national/regional water politics?
- To develop common water information system to support decisions making

