



« EUROPE-INBO 2018» 16th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EUROPEAN WATER DIRECTIVES

ROUNDTABLE 3: International cooperation:twinnings & exchange, neighbourhood, transboundary water

SEVILLA (SPAIN)
17-20 OCTOBER 2018





Roundtable 3:

Chair and Co-chair:

- Ms. Dolores Pascual, Hydrographic Confederation of the Ebro, Spain
- Mr. Nykola Kuzyo, Vice Minister of the European integration, environment and Natural ressources of Ukraine,

Rapporteur and Co-rapporteur

- Mr. Ramiro Martinez Costa, Coordinator REMOB
- Mr. Arnould Lefébure, Secretary general International Scheldt Commission

Keynote speakers

- Ms. Anahi Barrera, Agence Rhône-Mediterranée-Corse, France
- Mr. Dragan Zeljko, secretary general, International commission of the Sava,





Roundtable 3:

Panelists:

- 1. Mr. Antonio Coch, Commissioner for Water Hydrographic Confederation of the Ebro, Spain
- 2. Mrs. Andrea Galie, Director of Water Management Department, National Institute of Hydrology and Water Management, Romania
- 3. Mr. Arnaud Courtecuisse, Artois-Picardy Water Agency, France & Ms. Tsevelina Kanevan East Aegean River Basin Directorate, Bulgaria
- 4. Mr. Kalmàn Papp, previous water director, Hongria
- 5. Ms. Laura Tanco, Head of the Hydrological Planning Department Hydrographic Confederation of the Jucar, Spain
- 7. Mr. Sean Cazares
- 8. Ms. Cygul Usmanova, Chief





REPORTING 3-1

3 MAIN TYPES OF COOPERATION = 3 DIFFERENT APPROACHES **BUT SIMILAR OBJECTIVES**

- REGIONAL : eg REMOC
- 2. BILATERAL: Twinning or Transboundary
- 3. INTERNATIONAL: International river commission, INBO,
 - Why? Purpose: Improve the implementation of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and the Floods Directive
- How?: A voluntary system to exchange knowledge on how to implement the Directives, allowing mutual learning between participants (Peers)
- Who participates?: Practitioners from River Basin Authorities involved in the implementation of the WFD (and Floods Directive) OR willing to know it





Main challenges

General Challenges

- •Differences between the countries (i.e. EU membership, languages, different priorities,...)
- •Broad scope of work, demanding process (requires significant resources both in the ISRBC and in the Parties)...
- Approach
- •Integration (whole basin, scope of work, all societal sectors), aligned with the international regulations and standards, pragmatic and practical, participatory, complementary with the processes on the Danube & national levels
- Public participation: Stakeholder involvement;
 Stressed importance of the you



REPORTING 3-2

REGIONAL COOPERATION: different scales, different objectives

Who? REMOC, Ebro-Sardeña, Pirineos F/E, Comision aguas frontalezas (CAF), traite de Bayonne, shared aquifer F/Wallonia/Flanders...

Challenges: from exchange of data & good management practices to practical & specific transoundary issues

Tools: treatees, bi or multilateral protocols, maps, common data bases

Benefits: less conflicts, borders clarification, transboundary water transfert, improve water management, river basin management, neighbourhood cooperation





REPORTING 3-3

BILATERAL COOPERATION and TWINNINGS

Who?

HUNGARIA- NETHERLANDS, HUNGARIA - FRANCE (INBO-AE), HUNGARIA - SPAIN, HUNGARIA - GERMANY, HUNGARIA - UK, HUNGARIA - CHINA, HUNGARIA - MONGOLY, FRANCE - BULGARIA (AEAP - EABD), FRANCE-MORROCO, ESPAÑA-ALGERIA, MEXICO-USA, MOLDOVA-UKRAINE, ROMANIA-SERBIA, ROMANIA-UKRAINE, ROMANIA-BULGARIA,.....

Challenges:

- preparation & implementation of the <u>Water Framework</u> Directive
- Water governance & integrated water ressources management
- Surexploitation
- hydropower
- Economic analysis (water fees system, recovery costs, ,...)
- Youth involvement ...

Benefits:

- → useful exchange for the foreign countries cooperating
- ⇒ **Building the future** in educating and involving the youth





REPORTING 3-4

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION: River commissions

Who?

SAVA COMMISSION, DANUBE COMMISSION, INTERNATIONAL PROJECTS WITH HONGRIA, ROMANIA, AMU DARYA COOPERATION, MEXICO-US COMMISSION FOR BOUNDARY & WATER

Challenges:

- Common implementation of WFD and Flood Risk via international roof Management Plan
- Floods risk management: (Danube Floodplain project)
- Strengthening cooperation between river basin management planning and flood risk prevention (Tisza River Basin- JOINTISZA project)
- Water allocation (Amu Darya)
- Sediment Management . (Danube Sediment project)

Tools:

- Floods: very early warning system, advanced system for exchange of hydrometeorological data
- WFD: international forum, Sava Youth Parliament





MAIN OUTCOMES

Benefits of Regional/international cooperation

- Reducing the flood risk through floodplain restoration along River and tributaries;
- Enhance the status of waters
- Restoration of the Sediment Balance
- Improved cooperation
- Increased level of mutual trust among the countries,
- an opportunity for all Parties to meet their interests.
- implementation of projects/activities of common interest,
- effective data and information sharing,
- sharing of experiences and transfer of knowledge.

> A <u>permanent</u> international body/organization/commission is an effective structure for transboundary cooperation in international river basins.



